

BOARD COMPOSITION OF TURKISH LISTED COMPANIES: IS THERE ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN INDUSTRIES?

*İrge ŞENER

**Meral ELÇİ

*Çankaya University, Turkey

**Gebze Institute of Technology, Turkey

ABSTRACT

With this study, it was aimed to determine board characteristics of the companies, which are defined as CEO duality, insider/outsider ratio and size of the board, by taking into consideration the industries that the companies operate. The research related with board of directors is an important research stream. Although, many relations were investigated, few research examined whether board characteristics differ between different industries. Boards of directors are important mechanisms for Turkish organizations, since the performance of most of the organizations is directly related with these groups. Therefore, it is important to understand the characteristics of these groups. The sample of the study includes the companies which shares are publicly traded in İstanbul Stock Exchange. The results indicate that there exists no significant difference and any trend of board characteristics between the various industries, which leads to the conclusion that industry does not matter for board composition of Turkish organizations.

Keywords: Board Size, CEO Duality, Insider/Outsider Ratio

INTRODUCTION

Hambrick and Mason's (1984) upper-echelon theory draw attention to the research on top level executives. There are various definitions about which of the executives of the organization form the upper echelons; boards of directors are considered to be one of them (Finkelstein & Hambrick, 1990; Haleblan & Finkelstein, 1993). Most of the research on board of directors focus on some of the characteristics of board members. These characteristics that form the board composition are CEO duality, insider/outsider ratio and the size of the board which is defined as the number of the board members.

The relation between the composition of board of directors and organizational performance attracted the attention of many researchers (Zahra & Pearce, 1989; Pettigrew, 1992; Forbes & Milliken, 1999). There exists a view in the literature about board of directors, that the financial performance of the organization is related with the structure of the board of directors (Zahra & Pearce, 1989; Pettigrew, 1992; Johnson et al, 1996). Although, there is a widespread research on this relation, the findings are not consistent (Dalton et al, 1998; Dulewicz & Herbert, 2004; De Andres et al, 2005). In

Macro-organizational Characteristics, and Performance, *Academy of Management Journal*, 31, pp.570–598.

Meyer J. W. and Rowan B. (1977), Institutionalized Organizations: Formal Structures as Myth and Ceremony, *American Journal of Sociology*, 83, pp.340–363.

Pearce, J. and Zahra, S. (1992), Board Composition from a Strategic Contingency Perspective, *Journal of Management Studies*, 29(4), pp.411–438.

Pettigrew, A.M. (1992), On Studying Managerial Elites, *Strategic Management Journal*, 13, pp.163–182.

Pfeffer, J. (1972), Size and Composition of Corporate Boards of Directors, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 21, pp.218–228.

Pfeffer, J. and Salancik, G.R. (1978), *The External Control of Organizations: A Resource Dependence Perspective*. New York: Harper & Row.

Porter, M.E. (1980), *Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors*. New York.

Rechner, P. and Dalton, D. (1989) The Impact of CEO as Board Chairperson on Corporate Performance: Evidence vs. Rhetoric, *Academy of Management Executive*, 3(2), pp.141–143.

Rechner, P. and Dalton, D. (1991), CEO Duality and Organizational Performance: A Longitudinal Analysis, *Strategic Management Journal*, 12(2), pp.155–160.

Schellenger, M. H., Wood, D. D. and Tashakori, A. (1989), Board of Director Composition, Shareholder Wealth and Dividend Policy, *Journal of Management*, 15, pp.457–467.

Üsdiken, B. and Öktem (Yıldırım), Ö. (2008), Kurumsal Ortamda Değişim ve Büyük Aile Holdingleri Bünyesindeki Şirketlerin Yönetim Kurullarında “İcrada Görevli Olmayan” ve “Bağımsız” Üyeler (in Turkish). *Amme İdaresi Dergisi*, 41(1), pp.43–71.

Vafeas, N. and Theodorou, E. (1998), The Relationship between Board Structure and Firm Performance in the UK, *British Accounting Review*, 30, pp.383–407.

Wagner, J., Stimpert, J. and Fubara, E. (1998), Board Composition and Organizational Performance: Two Studies of Insider/Outsider Effects, *Journal of Management Studies*, 35(5), pp.655–677.

Walsh, J. and Seward, J. (1990), on the Efficiency of Internal and External Corporate Control Mechanisms, *Academy of Management Review*, 15 (3), pp.421–458.

Williamson, O. (1985), *The Economic Institutions of Capitalism*. New York: The Free Press.

Yermack, D. (1996), Higher Market Valuations for Firms with a Small Board of Directors, *Journal of Financial Economics*, 40(2), pp.185–211.

Yıldırım, Ö. And Üsdiken, B. (2005), Boards of Directors in Firms within Family Business Groups: Evidence from Turkish 'Holding' Companies, Paper submitted for 21st EGOS Colloquim, Berlin, Germany, 30 June– 2 July.

Yurtoglu, B.B. (2000), Ownership, Control and Performance of Turkish Listed Firms, *Empirica*, 27, pp.193–222.

Yurtoğlu, B.B. (2003), Corporate Governance and Implications for Minority Shareholders in Turkey, *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 1(1): 72-86.

Zahra, S.A. and Pearce, J.A. (1989), Boards of directors and corporate financial performance: A review and integrative model, *Journal of Management*, 15, pp.291–334.

Zajac, E.J. and Westphal, J.D. (1996), Who shall succeed? How CEO/Board Preferences and Power Affect the Choice of New CEOs, *Academy of Management Journal*, 39, pp.64-90.

Zajac, E. J. and Westphal, J. D. (1995), Accounting for the Explanations of CEO Compensation: Substance and Symbolism, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40, pp.283–306.